From: <u>Fajardo, Juan</u>
To: <u>Mitchell, Tanya</u>

Subject: FW: Rolling Knolls Landfill Oversight Cost Bill Date: Monday, January 26, 2015 10:11:17 AM

What can we find out?

From: Ricci, Richard F. [mailto:RRicci@lowenstein.com]

Sent: Monday, January 26, 2015 10:00 AM

To: Fajardo, Juan

Subject: RE: Rolling Knolls Landfill Oversight Cost Bill

I have done a quick review of these reports and have a question. A number of them have an entry in the narrative "Complete Market Research and AE Matoc Selection documentation." Can you shed any light on what work this narrative refers to. We may have additional questions as well as we continue to review the reports. Thanks. Rich Ricci

Richard F. Ricci

Partner

Lowenstein Sandler LLP 65 Livingston Avenue Roseland, New Jersey 07068

Tele: 973.597.2462 Fax: 973.597.2463 Cell: 908.313.5762 rricci@lowenstein.com www.lowenstein.com

vCard Bio

From: Fajardo, Juan [mailto:Fajardo.Juan@epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, January 26, 2015 7:52 AM

To: Ricci, Richard F.

Subject: RE: Rolling Knolls Landfill Oversight Cost Bill

Rich -

Attached are Progress Reports submitted by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for the relevant period of time. This is the best information we have for those costs.

Juan

From: Ricci, Richard F. [mailto:RRicci@lowenstein.com]

Sent: Friday, January 16, 2015 6:21 PM

To: Fajardo, Juan

Subject: Rolling Knolls Landfill Oversight Cost Bill

Juan – We are in receipt of an oversight bill from the EPA in the amount of \$318,197.03, covering the period 10/1/13 to 9/30/14. Included in that amount are \$96847.20 in Interagency Agreement Costs. The only support for the Interagency Agreement Costs is a list of vouchers, which appear in Section 5 of the bill. We would appreciate it if you could provide us with copies of those vouchers or any other back-up information from which we shed light on the nature and scope of the work relating to those costs. The bill also includes \$37,160.28 in indirect costs relating to the Interagency Agreement Costs. These costs appear to have been calculated by applying a 38.37% indirect cost factor to the voucher amounts. We would also appreciate it if you could shed some light on the rationale for applying an indirect cost factor to what appear to be costs invoiced from a third party. Of course, if you have any questions or would like to discuss this further, do not hesitate to contact me. Thanks. Rich Ricci

Richard F. Ricci Partner Lowenstein Sandler LLP 65 Livingston Avenue Roseland, New Jersey 07068

Tele: 973.597.2462 Fax: 973.597.2463 Cell: 908.313.5762 rricci@lowenstein.com www.lowenstein.com

vCard Bio

This message contains confidential information, intended only for the person(s) named above, which may also be privileged. Any use, distribution, copying or disclosure by any other person is strictly prohibited. In such case, you should delete this message and kindly notify the sender via reply e-mail. Please advise immediately if you or your employer does not consent to Internet e-mail for messages of this kind.

This message contains confidential information, intended only for the person(s) named above, which may also be privileged. Any use, distribution, copying or disclosure by any other person is strictly prohibited. In such case, you should delete this message and kindly notify the sender via reply e-mail. Please advise immediately if you or your employer does not consent to Internet e-mail for messages of this kind.